

COMMUNITY-LEARNING CANON

2026-03-18

Dexter Hadley, MD/PhD

Hadley Lab CANONIC

Abstract

Community learning ledgers are the governed research program for structurally anonymized health AI navigation across Small Island Developing States. The ledger is both the research instrument and the product: questions are the intelligence, and the community that asks is the community that learns. The paper proves two things: that the community evolves from exploration to active care, and that US-default AI systems fail the Caribbean.

hadleylab.org Governed Research. Every claim cited.

Contents

- 1 Scope 1
- 2 Paper Spec (MAGIC 255) 1
 - 2.1 Claim 1: EVOLUTION 1
 - 2.2 Claim 2: COMPARISON 1
 - 2.3 Claim 3: GOVERNANCE 2
- 3 Constraints 2
- 4 Section Map 3
- 5 Reproducible Analyses 3
- 6 Artifacts 4

1. Scope

- **Target:** JAMA Network Open (Original Investigation)
- **Study:** CANONIC Community Learning Study, Arm A (CaribChat, Caribbean)
- **IRB:** Exempt determination under 45 CFR 46.104(d)(4)(ii)
- **PI:** Dexter Hadley, MD/PhD
- **Co-I:** Marisa Nimrod, MD, MPH (CAOH)
- **Jurisdictions:** 10 Caribbean
- **Patents:** PROV-007, PROV-008, PROV-009 (Hadley, Nimrod; CANONIC Foundation)
- **Comparator:** ChatGPT (GPT-4o, OpenAI; API, temperature=0, no system prompt)

2. Paper Spec (MAGIC 255)

The paper makes **three claims**. Every section must serve at least one. No section may serve zero.

2.1 Claim 1: EVOLUTION

The community matures from exploration to active care navigation over 30 days.

- **Evidence:** Three-phase trajectory (screening epidemiology survivorship)
- **Metric:** Survivorship overtakes screening (29.8% vs 24.6%). Treatment doubles (11.4% 15.8%). Non-substantive drops (26.3% 7.3%).
- **Narrative arc:** what can you tell me? what does the data say? I am in treatment and need help
- **Proof:** Table 1 with early/late phase columns. Figure 1 with phase overlay. Figure 2 with domain evolution.

2.2 Claim 2: COMPARISON

CaribChat outperforms ChatGPT on Caribbean cancer navigation.

- **Evidence:** Head-to-head on 28 screening queries via OpenAI API (April 2, 2026)
 - **Metric:** Facility citation 100% vs 46.4% (P<.001). Actionable navigation 100% vs 35.7% (P<.001).
 - **Killer examples:** Toco (mobile units vs generic), Gasparillo (not recognized), whats their number? (Im sorry, I cant assist)
 - **Proof:** Table 3. Figure 3. Raw API responses in governed repository. Scoring script reproducible.
 - **Root cause:** Not a model limitation; a data layer limitation. Governed evidence + facility registry = the fix.
- MUST NOT: Include PII in any artifact
MUST NOT: Diverge from ledger counts
MUST NOT: Hand-edit DOCX (regenerate from markdown)
MUST NOT: Publish pre-freeze data as final (stage: WORKING)
MUST NOT: Mention MAGIC 255 by brand name in submission

2.3 Claim 3: GOVERNANCE

Clinically relevant intelligence accumulates without PII, across jurisdictions without data protection law.

- **Evidence:** 136 sessions, 3-field schema (date, text, UUID), structural anonymization at capture
- **Metric:** 83.8% substantive. 10 jurisdictions. 9 cancer types. OECS 15-state endorsement.
- **Healing traditions:** 11.4% of queries. Four-level evidence schema. Herb-drug flagging 5/5.
- **Proof:** Ledger schema (eFigure 1). Privacy architecture. IRB exempt filing.

3. Constraints

- MUST: Every section serve at least one of the three claims (EVOLUTION, COMPARISON, GOVERNANCE)
MUST: Ledger data sourced from TALKS/CARIBCHAT/LEARNING.md (single source of truth)
MUST: All thematic classifications auditable against ledger entries
MUST: Comparison data reproducible (score_comparison.py + chatgpt_responses.json)
MUST: Chart values sum to stated totals (no arithmetic drift)
MUST: Three-phase evolution visible in Results (early/middle/late)
MUST: ChatGPT comparison in Key Points, Abstract, Results, Discussion, Table 3
MUST: Co-author affiliations UPPERCASED per JAMA convention
MUST: Patent references cite inventor, assignee, application number
MUST: Data freeze date and session target declared in paper text
MUST: JAMA word limit: body 3000, abstract 350, figures+tables 5

4. Section Map

Section	Words	Claims Served	Key Data
Key Points	~100	ALL THREE	100% vs 46.4%, three-phase evolution, no PII
Abstract	350	ALL THREE	Full structured abstract with comparison P values
Introduction	~400	Sets up all three	No prior head-to-head; healing tradition gap; governance gap
Methods	~600	ALL THREE	Thematic + temporal classification; ChatGPT API protocol; ledger schema
Results: Evolution	~500	EVOLUTION	Three phases, domain shifts, narrative arc, exemplar threads
Results: Comparison	~400	COMPARISON	Table 3, Toco/Gasparillo/their number examples
Results: Secondary	~150	GOVERNANCE	Healing traditions 13/13, herb-drug 5/5
Discussion	~600	ALL THREE	Two main findings (comparison + evolution), healing traditions, privacy

5. Reproducible Analyses

Every analysis in the paper can be regenerated from source. No manual steps.

```
# Working directory
cd ~/CANONIC/hadleylab-canonice/PAPERS/COMMUNITY-LEARNING

# 1. THEMATIC CLASSIFICATION count SESSION_LEDGERED e
# Source: TALKS/CARIBCHAT/LEARNING.md (single source)
# 136 total, 114 substantive, 22 non-substantive

# 2. CHATGPT COMPARISON run 28 screening queries thro
# Requires: OPENAI_API_KEY (from ~/Code/mcode_trans
export OPENAI_API_KEY=$(grep OPENAI_API_KEY ~/Code/mcode
# Generates: chatgpt_responses.json (raw API respon
# Note: responses are date-stamped (April 2, 2026).
# different responses due to model updates. Original

# 3. SCORING automated scoring of comparison response
python3 score_comparison.py
# Reads: chatgpt_responses.json
# Writes: comparison_scores.json
# Output: CaribChat 28/28 (100%) vs GPT-4o 13/28 (4
# CaribChat 28/28 (100%) vs GPT-4o 10/28 (3

# 4. FIGURES render mermaid diagrams to PNG
for f in /tmp/mermaid-figs/fig*.mmd; do
  mmdc -i "$f" -o "fig_${basename $f}.png"
done
# Generates: fig_0.png through fig_9.png

# 5. SUBMISSION DOCX compile from markdown
pandoc submission.md -f markdown -t docx -o submission.docx

# 6. FULL PREPRINT DOCX compile with embedded PNGs
python3 -c "
import re
with open('community-learning-ledgers-caribbean.md') as f:
  n = [-1]
  def r(m): n[0] += 1; return f''
  open('/tmp/p.md', 'w').write(re.sub(r'\x60\x60\x60mermaid',
  "
  pandoc /tmp/p.md -f markdown -t docx -o community-learning.docx
```

6. Artifacts

File	Type	Description
submission.md	Source	JAMA-formatted submission (3000 words body)
submission.docx	Compiled	Word document for submission
community-learning-ledgers-caribbean.md	Source	Full preprint (11,500 words, all appendices)
community-learning-ledgers-caribbean.docx	Compiled	Full preprint Word with embedded PNGs
chatgpt_responses_data.json	Data	Raw GPT-4o API responses (28 queries)
comparison_scores_data.json	Data	Structured scoring results
score_comparison_deploy	Code	Reproducible scoring script
fig_0.png through fig_9.png	Compiled	Mermaid diagram renders

COMMUNITY-LEARNING | CANON | PAPERS